

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/737,179 | 12/13/2000 | Tahir Sadik Khan | LIFE-016 | 8410 | |
| 7 | 590 03/17/2003 | | | | |
| Bret Field | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Bozicevic, Field & Francis LLP Suite 200 | | | ALEXANDER, LYLE | | |
| 200 Middlefield Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 2.200 | | 1743 | | | |
| | • | DATE MAILED: 03/17/2003 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| . '5 | ~ 1 | | NS |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 09/737,179 | KHAN ET AL. | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Lyle A Alexander | 1743 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this appl 1) a timely filed amendment wh | ication. A proper re nich places the appli | ply to a cation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in t nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. \$ | See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nsion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the dist | ne fee. The appropriate ex in the final Office action; or | tension fee under (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to | pecause: | | |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furth | ner consideration and/or search | (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or | simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | eling a corresponding number of | f finally rejected clai | ms. |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted in a | separate, timely file | d amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | nsidered but does No | OT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLEL | Y to issues which we | ere newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: none. | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: none. | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,9-11,13-14,16,18-21,23-27</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | s a)□ approved or b)□ disap | oproved by the Exam | niner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | |
| 10. Other: | | LX. | |
| | | Lyle A Alexander | |

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment "comprising a series of inter-laced precursor" has not been previously considered and would require further search.